



HHC
Patent
Attorney Docket No. 2310

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF: MATTHEWS ET AL.
APPLICATION NO.: 09/503,137
FILED: FEBRUARY 11, 2000
FOR: MULTIPLE-PAGE SHELL USER
INTERFACE

EXAMINER: KE, PENG
ART UNIT: 2174
CONF. NO: 6139

RECEIVED

JAN 06 2004

Technology Center 2100

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 CFR §1.116

To: Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is a reply under 37 CFR § 1.116 in response to a final Office Action dated June 30, 2003. Please consider the following remarks, which Applicants submit place the case in condition for allowance or in better form for appeal. Applicants further submit that these remarks do not raise any new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

In the Office Action, claims 1-50, 52, 56, and 59-68 were rejected. Applicants note that, although claim 51 had been previously canceled and is not mentioned as pending in the Office Action Summary, it is expressly rejected in the body of the Office action. Claims 1-17, 53-55, 57-58, and 69-71 are herein

canceled. Claims 18, 19, 21, 27, 29, and 31 are currently amended. Claims 72-87 are new.

Applicants thank the Examiner for the telephonic interview on December 17, 2003 in which the cited prior art was discussed in relation to Applicants' claims. The remarks incorporate Applicants' position during the interview, generally that the Straub reference, taken alone or in any permissible combination, does not teach or suggest a number of recited limitations.